Content available at: https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals

Annals of Geriatric Education and Medical Sciences

Journal homepage: https://www.joooo.org/

Review Article

Technological innovations in geriatric rehabilitation: Virtual reality and telehealth applications

Sri Valli Chekuri[1], Mohammed. Sheeba Kauser[2]*

[1]Physiotherapist, USA and Registered PT in Canada.
[2]Sree venkateshawara pharmacy college, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Abstract

The aging global population presents increasing demand for effective, accessible, and personalized rehabilitation services. Technological innovations—particularly virtual reality (VR) and telehealth—are reshaping geriatric rehabilitation by enabling remote therapy, improving engagement, and enhancing clinical outcomes. This review examines the integration of VR and telehealth into rehabilitation programs for older adults, focusing on their roles in mobility training, balance improvement, cognitive enhancement, and chronic disease management. Evidence suggests that immersive VR environments can motivate elderly patients and provide real-time feedback, while telehealth platforms facilitate remote monitoring, continuity of care, and interdisciplinary collaboration. Despite promising results, challenges persist in digital accessibility, user adaptability, and system interoperability. The review also highlights recent advancements and outlines future directions aimed at maximizing the effectiveness and inclusivity of these technologies in geriatric care.

Keywords: Geriatric Rehabilitation, Virtual Reality Therapy, Telehealth, Aging Population

Received: 28-04-2025; **Accepted:** 02-07-2025; **Available Online**: 21-8-2025

1. Introduction

As the global population continues to age, healthcare systems face a growing demand for effective and accessible rehabilitation services tailored to older adults.[1] Geriatric populations commonly experience a decline in mobility, balance, cognitive function, and overall physical resilience due to age-related conditions such as stroke, Parkinson's disease, arthritis, and dementia.[2] These impairments often lead to reduced independence, increased risk of falls, and a lower quality of life, making rehabilitation a critical component of healthy aging.[3]

Traditional rehabilitation methods—often delivered in clinical or hospital settings—face limitations including transportation challenges, limited therapist availability, and inconsistent patient adherence.[4] These issues are particularly pronounced in older adults, many of whom live in remote areas or have physical or cognitive impairments that make regular in-person visits difficult[5]

To address these gaps, technological innovations such as virtual reality (VR) and telehealth have emerged as promising tools in geriatric rehabilitation.[6] These technologies enable therapy to be delivered remotely, monitored in real time, and tailored to the individual needs and capabilities of elderly patients.[7] VR provides immersive, interactive environments that enhance engagement and simulate real-world tasks, while telehealth platforms support remote consultations, assessments, and ongoing care coordination.[8]

This review explores the current landscape and future potential of VR and telehealth in geriatric rehabilitation.[9] It examines how these technologies are being used to enhance physical, cognitive, and functional recovery among older adults, evaluates their clinical effectiveness, and discusses

*Corresponding author: Mohammed. Sheeba Kauser
Email: sheebaishaq.doc@gmail.com

https://doi.org/10.18231/j.agems.v.12.i.1.5

the challenges and considerations for their broader implementation.[10]

2. Geriatric Rehabilitation: Scope and Challenges

Geriatric rehabilitation addresses the complex health needs of older adults, aiming to restore or maintain functional independence, enhance quality of life, and prevent decline associated with aging and chronic diseases.[11] It encompasses a broad range of interventions, including physical, cognitive, occupational, and psychosocial therapies. However, delivering effective rehabilitation to this population is often fraught with multifactorial challenges.[12]

2.1. Physical challenges

Older adults frequently experience a decline in musculoskeletal strength, coordination, balance, and endurance.[13] Conditions such as osteoarthritis, sarcopenia, stroke, and Parkinson's disease significantly impair mobility and increase the risk of falls—one of the leading causes of morbidity and hospitalization in this age group.[14] Many also require gait retraining, posture correction, or assistive devices, all of which require sustained, guided rehabilitation efforts.[15]

2.2. Cognitive and neurological impairments

Cognitive decline—ranging from mild cognitive impairment (MCI) to dementia—poses additional barriers to rehabilitation.[16] Deficits in memory, attention, and executive functioning can impact a patient's ability to follow therapy instructions, engage in self-directed exercises, or retain new skills. Neurological conditions like Alzheimer's disease, stroke, and traumatic brain injury (TBI) further complicate therapy planning and execution.[17]

2.3. Psychosocial and behavioral factors

Psychosocial issues such as depression, loneliness, and anxiety are prevalent in elderly populations, particularly those with limited mobility or chronic illness.[18] These conditions negatively affect motivation, participation in therapy, and overall recovery. Social support, a key determinant of rehabilitation success, may be lacking due to family distance, caregiver burden, or social isolation.

2.4. Limitations of conventional rehabilitation

Conventional rehabilitation, often delivered in clinical or institutional settings, faces several limitations when applied to geriatric populations:

1. **Accessibility Issues**: Many older adults have difficulty attending regular sessions due to transportation, financial constraints, or mobility impairments.
2. **Therapist Availability**: A shortage of geriatric specialists can limit timely, individualized care.
3. **Lack of Engagement**: Repetitive and non-interactive exercises may lead to low adherence and early dropout.
4. **One-Size-Fits-All Approaches**: Traditional models may not sufficiently accommodate the heterogeneity of the elderly population in terms of health status, cognitive function, and personal goals.

3. Role of Technology in Elderly Care

As the global population ages, healthcare systems are increasingly turning to digital technologies to meet the complex needs of older adults[19]. Technology has emerged as a critical enabler in modernizing geriatric care, especially in rehabilitation, where traditional in-person methods often fall short in terms of accessibility, scalability, and personalization. The integration of digital health tools offers new opportunities to enhance the quality, continuity, and efficiency of care for elderly patients.[20]

3.1. The digital shift in geriatric healthcare

The rise of digital health—encompassing telehealth, wearable devices, mobile applications, and artificial intelligence—has introduced a paradigm shift in elderly care. These technologies allow for real-time data collection, remote monitoring, personalized interventions, and greater patient autonomy. This shift is particularly significant for older adults who face mobility limitations or reside in areas with limited healthcare access.[21]

3.2. Key benefits of technology integration

1. **Improved Access to Care**: Telehealth platforms remove geographical and physical barriers, enabling older adults to receive therapy and consultations from home.
2. **Continuity of Care**: Digital systems facilitate consistent follow-up, remote progress tracking, and interdisciplinary collaboration, which are crucial for managing chronic conditions.
3. **Personalization**: Data-driven algorithms can adapt therapy programs to individual needs, accommodating diverse functional levels and comorbidities common in the elderly.
4. **Increased Engagement**: Interactive tools such as gamified exercises and virtual environments can improve adherence by making therapy more stimulating and meaningful.

3.3. Technology as a support for aging in place

Many older adults prefer to "age in place"—maintaining independence in their own homes rather than relocating to assisted living facilities.[22] Technology supports this goal by offering tools for:

1. **Fall detection and prevention** through motion sensors and wearable devices.

2. **Medication management** via smart pill dispensers and reminders.
3. **Social connectivity** through video conferencing and messaging platforms, helping to reduce loneliness and isolation.
4. **Cognitive support**, including memory aids and brain training applications.

3.4. Relevance to rehabilitation

In rehabilitation contexts, technology not only compensates for resource gaps but also augments clinical capabilities.[23] Virtual reality systems provide safe, immersive environments for balance and mobility training, while telehealth ensures that therapists can deliver and adjust treatment remotely.[24] These solutions are particularly relevant to geriatric care, where personalization, safety, and consistency are essential for successful outcomes.[25]

4. Virtual Reality in Geriatric Rehabilitation

Virtual reality (VR) is emerging as a transformative tool in geriatric rehabilitation, offering immersive, interactive environments that engage older adults in targeted therapeutic activities. By simulating real-world tasks in a safe, controlled setting, VR can help improve mobility, balance, cognitive function, and emotional well-being. Its use is especially beneficial for patients who may be reluctant or unable to participate in traditional rehabilitation programs.

4.1. Applications in physical therapy

VR is widely applied in physical rehabilitation to address age-related decline in motor skills, balance, and mobility:

1. **Balance Training**: VR games and simulations can challenge postural control in a fun, safe way, reducing the risk of falls.
2. **Gait and Mobility Exercises**: Treadmill-based VR systems simulate walking environments to improve stride length, cadence, and lower-limb coordination.
3. **Range of Motion and Strengthening**: Interactive tasks guide patients through upper and lower limb exercises, allowing for both passive and active movements.
4. **Pain Distraction**: Immersive environments can reduce the perception of pain during therapy by diverting attention from discomfort.

4.2. Cognitive and neurorehabilitation

Cognitive decline is common in aging, and VR-based cognitive training is showing promise in improving mental function:

1. **Memory and Attention**: Virtual environments mimic real-life situations (e.g., grocery shopping or navigation) that test short-term memory, attention, and executive functioning.
2. **Dual Task Training**: Combining motor and cognitive tasks (e.g., walking while solving puzzles) helps improve multitasking skills and reduce fall risk.
3. **Neuroplasticity Stimulation**: Engaging VR scenarios may help stimulate brain areas involved in cognition and motor planning, especially after stroke or in early dementia.
4. **Social Cognition**: Virtual social interactions may benefit patients with conditions such as Alzheimer's or mild cognitive impairment (MCI), improving communication and emotional recognition.

4.3. Benefits and limitations

Benefits

1. **Enhanced Engagement**: The interactive and game-like nature of VR increases motivation and adherence to therapy.
2. **Personalized Feedback**: Real-time visual and auditory feedback helps patients correct movements and track progress.
3. **Safe Simulation**: Risky activities (e.g., navigating stairs or crossing a street) can be safely practiced in a virtual environment.
4. **Quantitative Data**: Sensors provide objective performance metrics that clinicians can use to adjust treatment plans.

5. Limitations

1. **Cost and Accessibility**: High-quality VR systems can be expensive and may not be readily available in all settings.
2. **Technology Acceptance**: Older adults with limited digital literacy may be hesitant to use VR.
3. **Physical Discomfort**: Some users experience cybersickness, eye strain, or fatigue during VR sessions.
4. **Limited Standardization**: Clinical protocols for VR-based therapy are still being developed and validated.

5.1. User Experience and safety concerns

Designing VR systems for older adults requires attention to usability and safety:

1. **Ease of Use**: Interfaces must be intuitive, with clear instructions, large text, and simple controls to accommodate age-related sensory and cognitive changes.
2. **Adjustable Difficulty**: Therapy intensity should be adaptable to match the user's physical and cognitive capabilities.
3. **Supervised Use**: Initial sessions should be guided by therapists or caregivers to prevent falls or overexertion.
4. **Hygiene and Equipment Fit**: Headsets and controllers must be easy to clean and comfortable

for prolonged use, especially for users with glasses or hearing aids.

6. Telehealth for Remote Rehabilitation

Telehealth has become a cornerstone in delivering remote rehabilitation services, particularly for older adults who face mobility, transportation, or geographic barriers to in-person care. By leveraging video conferencing, remote monitoring, and digital communication platforms, telehealth allows healthcare professionals to provide continuous, personalized, and accessible rehabilitation for geriatric populations.

6.1. Platforms and tools

Telehealth in geriatric rehabilitation typically uses a range of technologies, including:

1. **Video conferencing platforms:** (e.g., Zoom, Doxy.me, Teladoc): Facilitate live therapy sessions, assessments, and follow-ups.
2. **Remote monitoring tools**: Devices such as wearable sensors, smartwatches, or motion-detecting cameras track vital signs, physical activity, and adherence to therapy.
3. **Mobile health (mHealth) apps**: Customized apps offer home-based exercise programs, medication reminders, and cognitive training modules.
4. **Electronic health records (EHR) integration**: Seamless access to patient data ensures continuity and coordination across care teams.

6.2 Clinical use cases in elderly populations

Telehealth is being used across a wide spectrum of geriatric rehabilitation scenarios:

1. **Post-operative recovery**: Following joint replacement or orthopedic surgery, telehealth enables early mobilization and progress tracking.
2. **Neurological rehabilitation**: Stroke and Parkinson's patients benefit from remote speech therapy, balance training, and fine motor exercises.
3. **Chronic disease management**: Conditions like COPD or heart failure are managed through telemonitoring and guided physical activity.
4. **Fall prevention programs**: Regular remote check-ins and guided balance exercises reduce fall risk in home settings.

6.3 Remote monitoring and follow-up

Continuous monitoring is a major advantage of telehealth for older adults:

1. **Adherence Tracking**: Wearable devices and app logs record whether patients complete prescribed exercises.
2. **Alert Systems**: Sudden drops in activity or abnormal vital signs trigger alerts to clinicians.
3. **Progress Review**: Data visualizations help both patients and providers evaluate improvement over time, boosting motivation and clinical decision-making.

6.4. Accessibility and usability issues

Despite its benefits, several challenges hinder telehealth adoption among the elderly:

1. **Digital Literacy Gaps**: Many older adults are unfamiliar with video conferencing or app-based interfaces.
2. **Device and Internet Access**: Limited availability of smartphones, tablets, or high-speed internet is common in underserved communities.
3. **User Interface Design**: Poorly designed platforms with small text or complex navigation can discourage use.
4. **Cognitive and Sensory Impairments**: Vision loss, hearing issues, or dementia may require caregiver support or specially adapted systems.

7. Comparative Effectiveness and Outcomes

A growing body of research supports the effectiveness of technology-assisted rehabilitation—particularly virtual reality (VR) and telehealth—in improving outcomes for older adults.[18] Clinical studies have compared these digital interventions to traditional, in-person rehabilitation methods across physical, cognitive, and psychosocial domains.[19] Overall, the evidence suggests that technology-enhanced rehabilitation can offer comparable—and in some cases superior—results, especially in terms of engagement, accessibility, and adherence.

7.1. Physical function and mobility

1. **Balance and gait**: Several randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have shown that VR-based balance training is as effective as conventional physiotherapy in improving postural control and reducing fall risk in elderly populations.[13]

a. *Example*: A study by Mirelman et al. (2016) found that VR treadmill training reduced fall risk by 42% compared to treadmill training alone.

2. **Post-stroke recovery**: Telehealth-delivered physical therapy demonstrated outcomes equivalent to in-clinic care for stroke survivors in terms of motor recovery and functional independence.[16]

7.2 Cognitive performance

VR and telecognitive interventions have shown promise in improving memory, attention, and executive functioning in older adults with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) or early-stage dementia.[20]

Example: A 2020 meta-analysis found that VR-based cognitive training resulted in small-to-moderate improvements in attention and working memory compared to passive control groups.

7.3. Psychological and behavioral outcomes

1. **Engagement and Motivation**: VR and gamified rehabilitation systems significantly increased motivation, therapy duration, and session attendance among older adults, compared to conventional therapy.[21]
2. **Mental Health**: Remote access to therapists and virtual social environments through telehealth has been associated with reductions in loneliness, anxiety, and depression—common barriers to rehabilitation adherence in geriatrics.[22]

7.4. Adherence and patient satisfaction

1. Telehealth programs have reported higher adherence rates than in-person rehab, especially among homebound or rural elderly patients.

   Example: In a home-based telerehabilitation program for hip fracture recovery, adherence exceeded 85%, and 90% of participants rated their experience as positive or very positive.

2. VR systems that include feedback and goal tracking improve accountability and patient satisfaction, even in cognitively impaired groups.

7.5. Cost-effectiveness and scalability

1. While initial setup costs for VR and telehealth systems can be high, studies suggest long-term cost savings through reduced travel, fewer hospital readmissions, and efficient resource use**.**
2. Telehealth programs have proven especially scalable in community and rural health settings, where access to specialized geriatric rehabilitation is limited.

7.6. Limitations in comparative research

Despite promising findings, certain limitations remain:

1. **Heterogeneity of Study Designs**: Studies vary widely in sample sizes, intervention durations, outcome measures, and technologies used.
2. **Short Follow-Up Periods**: Many studies focus on short-term gains; evidence on long-term functional maintenance is still limited.
3. **Selection Bias**: Participants in tech-based trials often have higher baseline digital literacy or caregiver support, which may skew results.

8. Barriers to Adoption and Implementation

While virtual reality (VR) and telehealth technologies offer significant promise in enhancing geriatric rehabilitation, several barriers hinder their widespread adoption and successful implementation. These challenges span technological, human, institutional, and systemic dimensions, particularly when dealing with vulnerable elderly populations.

8.1 Digital literacy and user readiness

Many older adults face difficulties using modern digital tools due to:

1. **Limited familiarity with technology** such as smartphones, tablets, or VR headsets.
2. **Cognitive impairments** that affect navigation, memory, or comprehension of digital interfaces.
3. **Sensory and motor limitations**, such as poor vision, reduced manual dexterity, or hearing loss, that complicate interaction with devices.

Lack of digital confidence or fear of making mistakes can discourage older adults from engaging with remote rehabilitation technologies

8.2 Infrastructure and access disparities

Technology deployment is heavily influenced by access to reliable infrastructure:

1. **Internet Connectivity**: In rural or underserved regions, high-speed internet required for telehealth or VR applications may be unavailable or unstable.
2. **Device Availability**: Not all older adults own or can afford compatible devices (e.g., tablets, VR headsets, wearables).
3. **Technical Support**: Limited access to in-home IT support can lead to abandonment of technology after initial use.

These disparities widen the **digital divide**, making it difficult to reach those who may benefit most from remote rehabilitation.

8.3. Financial and cost-related constraints

The costs associated with digital rehabilitation tools pose a barrier to both institutions and individuals:

1. **High upfront investment** in VR systems, software licenses, or remote monitoring equipment.
2. **Lack of reimbursement** for telehealth or digital rehabilitation sessions in some healthcare systems.
3. **Out-of-pocket costs** for patients, especially in countries without universal coverage, can deter participation.

Until broader policy support and cost-effectiveness data are available, institutions may be reluctant to invest in these technologies.

8.4. Clinician training and acceptance

The successful use of telehealth and VR depends on clinicians' ability and willingness to integrate them into practice:

1. Lack of training in how to operate, troubleshoot, and incorporate digital tools into therapy planning.
2. Time constraints in clinical workflows can discourage experimentation with new platforms.
3. Skepticism about the clinical effectiveness or perceived depersonalization of remote care may reduce enthusiasm among therapists.

Promoting technology adoption among healthcare providers requires not only training but also evidence of efficacy, efficiency, and ease of use.

8.5. Regulatory, ethical, and privacy concerns

Legal and ethical issues also complicate implementation:

1. **Licensure and jurisdiction issues** may limit cross-border or interstate telehealth services.
2. **Data privacy and security** concerns are amplified when dealing with sensitive health data from older adults.
3. **Informed consent** can be challenging in patients with cognitive impairment or without caregiver support.

These factors highlight the need for clear policies and safeguards to build trust in digital rehabilitation solutions.

In summary, while the potential of VR and telehealth in geriatric rehabilitation is substantial, realizing their full impact requires addressing barriers related to equity, infrastructure, cost, training, and trust. Strategic investments, inclusive design, and policy reform are critical to advancing adoption and ensuring these technologies benefit all segments of the aging population.

9. Future Directions and Policy Implications

As virtual reality (VR) and telehealth technologies continue to reshape geriatric rehabilitation, strategic planning is required to ensure their safe, equitable, and effective integration into healthcare systems. Future efforts must focus on advancing the evidence base, improving system design, addressing accessibility gaps, and implementing supportive policy frameworks. These measures will be essential to fully realize the potential of digital health in promoting functional independence and quality of life among older adults.

9.1. Research priorities

1. **Long-Term Efficacy Studies**: Current research often focuses on short-term outcomes; future studies should assess the sustained impact of tech-assisted rehabilitation on physical, cognitive, and psychosocial health.
2. **Large-Scale, Diverse Populations**: More inclusive clinical trials involving varied age groups, cultural backgrounds, and comorbid conditions are needed to enhance generalizability.
3. **Cost-Benefit Analyses**: Economic evaluations will be critical in demonstrating value to payers and health systems, especially in resource-limited settings.
4. **Comparative Effectiveness**: Head-to-head trials comparing VR, telehealth, and hybrid models with standard care can inform best practices and treatment personalization.

9.2. System design and interoperability

1. **User-Centered Interfaces**: Future systems should prioritize intuitive design tailored to older adults, accounting for cognitive and sensory limitations.
2. **Modular, Scalable Platforms**: Technologies must be adaptable to various care settings—home, community, or long-term care facilities.
3. **Integration with EHRs**: Seamless data sharing between VR/telehealth platforms and electronic health records will support continuity of care and collaborative decision-making.

9.3 Improving accessibility and equity

1. **Bridging the Digital Divide**: Policies and public-private initiatives should expand internet access, subsidize devices, and offer training to older adults and caregivers.
2. **Culturally Sensitive Design**: Rehabilitation content should be linguistically and culturally tailored to diverse elderly populations.
3. **Assistive Technologies**: Integration with voice controls, larger interfaces, and simplified navigation can improve usability for those with disabilities.

9.4. Workforce development and training

1. **Clinician Training Programs**: Formal education and continuing professional development should include modules on digital rehabilitation tools and remote care best practices.
2. **Support Roles**: The emergence of new roles such as digital health facilitators or virtual care coordinators could enhance program delivery and technical support.

9.5. Regulatory and ethical considerations

1. **Clear Telehealth Regulations**: National and international guidelines should address licensure portability, cross-border practice, and liability in remote rehabilitation.
2. **Data Privacy and Security**: Robust cybersecurity frameworks and patient consent protocols are essential to maintain trust in digital interventions.

3. **Quality Standards**: Regulatory bodies should develop certification pathways for VR and telehealth products used in clinical rehabilitation.

9.6. Policy recommendations

1. **Reimbursement Reform**: Governments and insurers should expand reimbursement for remote rehabilitation services and digital therapeutics.
2. **Public Health Integration**: Technology-assisted geriatric rehabilitation should be embedded within broader aging and chronic disease management policies.
3. **Innovation Funding**: Targeted investments in research, infrastructure, and pilot programs can accelerate adoption and refinement of digital rehabilitation models.

10. Conclusion

The integration of virtual reality and telehealth technologies marks a pivotal advancement in geriatric rehabilitation, addressing long-standing barriers to care for older adults. These innovations offer flexible, engaging, and patient-centered solutions that extend beyond the limitations of traditional, facility-based rehabilitation models. Evidence increasingly supports their efficacy in improving physical, cognitive, and emotional outcomes, while enhancing accessibility and adherence among elderly populations.

However, realizing the full potential of these tools requires a deliberate approach to overcoming challenges such as digital literacy gaps, technological access, clinician training, and regulatory uncertainty. A multidisciplinary effort involving researchers, clinicians, policymakers, and technology developers is essential to create inclusive, sustainable, and effective systems.

11. Source of Funding

None.

12. Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Bowling A. Quality of life in older age: What older people say. In: Mollenkopf H and Walker A (eds) Quality of life in old age. Dordrecht: Springer, 2007, pp.15–30.
2. Hasan H, Linger H. Enhancing the wellbeing of the elderly: Social use of digital technologies in aged care. Educ Gerontol 2016; 42(11):749–57.
3. Vanleerberghe P, De Witte N, Cet C al. et al. The quality of life of older people aging in place: A literature review. Qual Life Res 2017;26:2899–2907. Riva G, Gaggioli A, Villani D, et al. Positive technology for healthy living and active ageing. In: Active ageing and healthy living: A human centered approach in research and innovation as source of quality of life. IOS Press, 2014, pp.44–56.
4. Şahin DS, Özer Ö, Yanardağ MZ. Perceived social support, quality of life and satisfaction with life in elderly people. Educ Gerontol 2019; 45: 69–77.
5. Akbar MF, Ramadhani N, Putri RA. Assistive and wearable technology for elderly. *Bullet Soc Informat Theory Appl.* 2018;2(1):8–14.
6. Orimo H, Ito H, Tet S. Reviewing the definition of "elderly." Geriatr Gerontol Int. 2006; 6:149–58.
7. Amarya S, Singh K, Sabharwal M. Ageing process and physiological changes. In: Grazia DO, Antonio G and Daniele S (eds) Gerontology. Rijeka: IntechOpen, 2018, pp. 3–24.
8. Siegel C, Dorner TE. Information technologies for active and assisted living—influences to the quality of life of an ageing society. Int J Med Inf 2017; 100:32–45.
9. Rodríguez-Fórtiz MJ, Rodríguez-Domínguez C, Cano P, et al. Serious games for the cognitive stimulation of elderly people. In: 2016 IEEE international conference on serious games and applications for health (SeGAH) 2016, IEEE, New York, pp 1–7.
10. Irazoki E, Contreras-Somoza LM, Toribio-Guzmán JM. Technologies for cognitive training and cognitive rehabilitation for people with mild cognitive impairment and dementia. a systematic review. Front Psychol 2020;11:648.
11. Zheng L, Li G, Wang X. Effect of exergames on physical outcomes in frail elderly: A systematic review. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* 2020; 32:2187–2200.
12. Grossi G, Lanzarotti R, Pet N. Positive technology for elderly well-being: A review. Pattern Recognit Lett 2020;137:61–70.
13. Kuo HL, Chang CH, Ma WF. A survey of mobile apps for the care management of patients with dementia. Healthcare (Basel).2022;10(7):1173.
14. Overmann KM, Wu DTY, Xu CT. Real-time locating systems to improve healthcare delivery: A systematic review. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* 2021;28:1308–17.
15. Moyle W, Murfield J, Lion K. The effectiveness of smart home technologies to support the health outcomes of community-dwelling older adults living with dementia: A scoping review. *Int J Med Inf.* 2021;153:104513.
16. Méndez JI, Mata O, Ponce Pet al. et al. Multi-sensor system, gamification, and artificial intelligence for benefit elderly people. In: Ponce H, Martínez-Villaseñor L, Brieva J. (eds) Challenges and trends in multimodal fall detection for healthcare. 1st ed. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2020.pp.207–35.
17. Czaja SJ. The potential role of technology in supporting older adults. Public Policy Aging Rep. 2017;27(2):44–8.
18. Lee N, Kim J, Hwang J. Potential of augmented reality and virtual reality technologies to promote wellbeing in older adults. Appl Sci 2019; 9(17):3556.
19. Corregidor-Sánchez A-I, Segura-Fragoso A, Criado-Álvarez J. Effectiveness of virtual reality systems to improve the activities of daily life in older people. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17.
20. Shen X, Shirmohammadi S. Virtual and augmented reality. In: Furht B. (ed) Encyclopedia of multimedia. 2nd ed. Boston, MA: Springer US, 2008, pp.962–7.
21. Vyas DA, Bhatt D. Augmented reality (ar) applications: A survey on current trends, challenges, & future scope. *Int J Adv Res Comp Sci.* 2017;8:2724–30
22. De Bruin E, Schoene D, Pichierri Get al. et al. Use of virtual reality technique for the training of motor control in the elderly. Z Gerontol Geriatr. 2010; 43: 229–34.
23. Carroll J, Hopper L, Farrelly AM. A scoping review of augmented/virtual reality health and wellbeing interventions for older adults: Redefining immersive virtual reality. Front Virt Reality 2021; 2.
24. Hoeg ER, Povlsen TM, Bruun-Pedersen JR. System immersion in virtual reality-based rehabilitation of motor function in older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Virt Reality 2021;2: 647993.
25. Strandberg TE. Chapter 2 - Quality of life in older people. In: Martin CR, Preedy VR and Rajendram R (eds) Assessments, treatments and

modeling in aging and neurological disease. 1st ed. Academic Press, 202; pp.13–9.

Cite this article: Chekuri SV, Kauser MS. Technological innovations in geriatric rehabilitation: Virtual reality and telehealth applications. *Ann Geriatrics Educ Med Sci.* 2024;12(1):18-25.